### **Synchronization in Operating Systems**

### 1. Race Condition

A race condition occurs when multiple processes access and manipulate shared data concurrently, and the outcome depends on the sequence of execution.

### **Example:**

- Two processes, **P1** and **P2**, increment a shared counter.
- If both read the value before one updates it, they may overwrite each other's update, leading to incorrect results.

**Solution:** Proper synchronization mechanisms are needed to avoid race conditions.

#### 2. Critical Section Problem

A critical section is a part of a program where shared resources (data, files, etc.) are accessed.

### **Solution Requirements (Critical Section Problem Conditions)**

- 1. **Mutual Exclusion** Only one process can be in its critical section at a time.
- 2. **Progress** If no process is in the critical section, others should proceed.
- 3. **Bounded Waiting** No process should wait indefinitely to enter the critical section.

# 3. Peterson's Algorithm

A software-based solution to **ensure mutual exclusion** in a two-process system.

## Working of Peterson's Algorithm

- Uses two variables:
  - 1. flag[i] = true (Indicates process wants to enter)
  - 2. turn = j (Indicates the other process's turn)

### **Algorithm**

For **process P0**:

cpp

CopyEdit

flag[0] = true;

```
turn = 1;
while (flag[1] && turn == 1); // Wait
// Critical Section
flag[0] = false; // Exit critical section
For process P1:
cpp
CopyEdit
flag[1] = true;
turn = 0;
while (flag[0] && turn == 0); // Wait
// Critical Section
flag[1] = false; // Exit critical section
```

## **Advantages:**

- Ensures mutual exclusion.
- Works only for **two processes**.

# 4. Bakery Algorithm

A generalization of Peterson's Algorithm for multiple processes.

## **Concept:**

- Each process takes a **number** like a bakery queue system.
- The process with the **smallest number** enters the critical section first.

# **Algorithm Steps**

- 1. Assign each process a unique number.
- 2. Compare numbers to decide execution order.
- 3. If two numbers are equal, process IDs break ties.

### 5. Synchronization Hardware

Modern processors provide hardware-level solutions for synchronization.

## **Locking Mechanisms**

# 1. Test and Set (TSL) Instruction

o Atomically checks and modifies a memory location.

# 2. Compare and Swap (CAS)

o Compares a value and updates only if unchanged.

## 3. **Disable Interrupts**

o Prevents context switching to ensure atomic execution.

### 6. Synchronization Software Tools

### 1. Mutex Lock

A **Mutex (Mutual Exclusion Lock)** is a binary lock (0 or 1) used to ensure only **one process** accesses a resource at a time.

### **Operations**

- lock() Acquires the lock.
- unlock() Releases the lock.

Example:

срр

CopyEdit

pthread\_mutex\_t lock;

pthread\_mutex\_lock(&lock);

// Critical section

pthread\_mutex\_unlock(&lock);

## 2. Semaphore

A **semaphore** is an integer variable used to **control access** to shared resources.

## **Types of Semaphores**

1. Binary Semaphore (0 or 1)

Works like a Mutex.

## 2. Counting Semaphore (0 to N)

o Allows multiple processes to access a resource.

# **Semaphore Operations**

• Wait (P) Operation:

```
cpp
CopyEdit
wait(S) {
   while (S <= 0); // Busy wait
   S = S - 1;
}
   • Signal (V) Operation:
cpp
CopyEdit
signal(S) {
   S = S + 1;
}</pre>
```

# 7. Classic Synchronization Problems

# 1. Bounded Buffer Problem (Producer-Consumer)

- **Producers** generate items and place them in a buffer.
- **Consumers** remove items and process them.
- Issues:
  - o Buffer overflow (producer adds when full).
  - o Buffer underflow (consumer removes when empty).

### **Solution:**

• Use semaphores to synchronize access.

```
срр
CopyEdit
Semaphore empty = N; // Number of empty slots
Semaphore full = 0; // Number of filled slots
Semaphore mutex = 1; // Mutual exclusion
Producer:
wait(empty);
wait(mutex);
add item to buffer;
signal(mutex);
signal(full);
Consumer:
wait(full);
wait(mutex);
remove item from buffer;
signal(mutex);
signal(empty);
```

### 2. Readers-Writers Problem

- Readers can read simultaneously.
- Writers need exclusive access.

## **Solution:**

- Maintain reader count.
- Ensure writers get exclusive access.

срр

```
CopyEdit
Semaphore mutex = 1; // Mutual exclusion for modifying reader count
Semaphore wrt = 1; // Control access for writers
int read_count = 0;
Reader:
wait(mutex);
read_count++;
if (read_count == 1) wait(wrt);
signal(mutex);
perform reading;
wait(mutex);
read_count--;
if (read_count == 0) signal(wrt);
signal(mutex);
Writer:
wait(wrt);
write operation;
signal(wrt);
```

# 3. Dining Philosophers Problem

- **N philosophers** sit around a table.
- Each has **one fork**; needs **two forks** to eat.
- Issues: Deadlock, starvation.

### **Solution:**

- Use semaphores for forks.
- Limit philosophers eating at once.

срр

```
CopyEdit
```

```
Semaphore fork[N];
```

```
Philosopher(i):
wait(fork[i]);
wait(fork[(i+1)%N]);
eat();
signal(fork[i]);
```

signal(fork[(i+1)%N]);

### 8. Monitor

A **monitor** is a high-level synchronization construct that encapsulates:

- Shared data
- Operations on data
- Synchronization mechanisms

# **Example of Monitor in C++**

```
cpp
CopyEdit
monitor SharedBuffer {
  int buffer;
  condition full, empty;
```

void insert(int item) {

```
if (buffer is full) wait(full);
  add item;
  signal(empty);
}

int remove() {
  if (buffer is empty) wait(empty);
  remove item;
  signal(full);
}
```

# 9. Synchronization in Windows

Windows provides several synchronization tools:

- Mutexes (CreateMutex)
- Semaphores (CreateSemaphore)
- Events (CreateEvent)
- Critical Sections (EnterCriticalSection)

# **Example: Using Windows Mutex**

```
cpp
CopyEdit
HANDLE mutex = CreateMutex(NULL, FALSE, NULL);
WaitForSingleObject(mutex, INFINITE);
// Critical Section
ReleaseMutex(mutex);
```

### Conclusion

- **Synchronization** ensures correct execution of concurrent processes.
- Race conditions must be avoided using locks, semaphores, and monitors.
- Classic problems demonstrate real-world challenges in process coordination.